State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** San Francisco **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Counsel For The State Bar For Court use only Case Number(s): Robert A. Henderson 09-O-11000; **PUBLIC MATTER** 180 Howard Street 10-O-00256; San Francisco, California 94105 10-O-02666: Telephone: (415) 538-2385 10-O-05456; 11-0-14717; 11-O-14877 Bar # 173205 MAY 1 4 2012 Counsel For Respondent STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO Scott J. Drexel 1325 Howard Avenue, #151 Burlingame, California 94010 Telephone: (650) 918-8328 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 65670 **DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING** In the Matter of: **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Katherine M. Townley PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 226566 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted November 10, 2003.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 16 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

(Do 1	ot write	e above this line.)					
(5)	Cor Law	clusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of".					
(6)		he parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading Supporting Authority."					
(7)	No pen	more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any ding investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.					
(8)		rment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 0.7. (Check one option only):					
		Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure. Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".					
		Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled Partial Waiver of Costs. Costs are entirely waived.					
1	Profe	avating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for essional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances equired.					
(1)		Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]					
	(a)	☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case					
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective					
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:					
	(d)	Degree of prior discipline					
	(e)	☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.					
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.					
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.					
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.					
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.					

(Do no	t write	above this line.)
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)	\boxtimes	Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. See attachment.
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances:
		ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.

(Do n	ot write	above	this lin	e.)					
(13)		No n	nitigat	ting circumstances are involved.					
Add	itiona	al mit	igatin	g circumstances:					
	Respondent has no prior record of discipline in eight years of practice.								
D. [Disci	pline	e:						
(1)	\boxtimes	Stayed Suspension:							
	(a)	\boxtimes	Resp	ondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one-year.					
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.					
		ü.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.					
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:					
	(b)	\boxtimes	The a	above-referenced suspension is stayed.					
(2)	\boxtimes	Proi	Probation:						
	Res date	spondent must be placed on probation for a period of two-years, which will commence upon the effective e of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)							
(3)		Actu	ıal Su	spension:					
	(a)			oondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period i-days.					
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct					
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.					
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:					
E. #	Addi	tiona	al Co	nditions of Probation:					
(1)		he/s	he pro	dent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until byes to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the w, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.					
(2)	\boxtimes	Duri Prof	ng the	probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of all Conduct.					
(3)	\boxtimes	With State	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of						

(Do not write above this line.)								
		inforr purpo	nation, including current office address an oses, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the	d telep ne Busi	none number, or other address for State Bar ness and Professions Code.			
(4)		and s condi proba prom Resp	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request. Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state					
		wheth condi are a curre	whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.					
		In ad-	dition to all quarterly reports, a final report y (20) days before the last day of the peri	, contained of pr	ning the same information, is due no earlier than obation and no later than the last day of probation.			
(6)		condi Durin in add	Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.					
(7)	\boxtimes	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.						
(8)	\boxtimes	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.						
			☐ No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .					
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.						
(10)		The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:						
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions			
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions			
F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:								
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.						

(DO U	ot write	above this line.)
		No MPRE recommended. Reason:
(2)		Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
(5)		Other Conditions:

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

Katherine M. Townley

CASE NUMBERS:

09-O-11000; 10-O-00256; 10-O-02666; 10-O-05456;

11-O-14717; 11-O-14877

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that she is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 09-O-11000 (Complainant: Chasity Grant)

FACTS:

- 1. On August 21, 2007, Chasity Grant ("Grant") hired respondent for help regaining custody of her children in a guardianship proceeding.
 - 2. Grant paid respondent \$2,000 in advanced fees for the guardianship matter.
- 3. Between August 27, 2007, and May 6, 2008, respondent had the guardianship matter continued several times.
- 4. Between August 27, 2007, and May 6, 2008, respondent failed to take any substantive step toward resolving the guardianship matter on behalf of Grant.
- 5. Between March 24, 2008, and May 6, 2008, Grant made numerous attempts to communicate with respondent in order to obtain a status update on the guardianship matter. Respondent failed to respond to Grant's left messages.
 - 6. On May 6, 2008, respondent was substituted out of the guardianship matter by Grant.
- 7. On January 28, 2009, Grant filed a complaint against respondent with the State Bar of California.
- 8. On April 22, 2009, and May 11, 2009, a State Bar Investigator sent letters to respondent regarding the complaint. The letters requested respondent's written response to the allegations of misconduct being investigated. Respondent received the letters, but did not respond.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Count One

9. By failing to take any substantive step toward completing the guardianship matter from August 27, 2007 to May 6, 2008, respondent intentionally, recklessly, and repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

Count Two

10. By failing to respond to Grant's numerous efforts at communication between March 24, 2008 and May 6, 2008, respondent intentionally failed to respond to reasonable status inquiries of a client in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(m).

Count Three

11. By failing to respond to the State Bar Investigator's April 22, 2009 and May 11, 2009, letters, respondent willfully failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against respondent in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(i).

Case No. 10-O-00256 (Complainant: Frosty Roades)

FACTS:

- 12. On May 29, 2008, Frosty Roades ("Roades") hired respondent for a dissolution of marriage. Roades paid respondent \$1,500 in advanced fees.
- 13. On May 29, 2008, respondent filed a petition for dissolution, but did not serve the opposing party. Between May 29, 2008 and July 2009, respondent took no other affirmative step toward completing the dissolution of marriage matter for Roades.
- 14. Between May 29, 2008, and July 2009, Roades left numerous messages with respondent requesting a status update on the matter. Respondent received these messages, but did not respond.
 - 15. At most respondent earned \$500 of the advanced fees.
 - 16. To date respondent has not refunded any of the unearned \$1,000 in advanced fees.
- 17. On June 29, 2009, Roades filed a complaint against respondent with the State Bar of California.
- 18. On November 9, 2009, a State Bar Complaint Analyst wrote to respondent requesting a written response about the status of the Roades case and a full accounting of attorney's fees paid. Respondent received the letter shortly after it was sent, but did not respond in any way.

19. On February 5, 2010, and February 23, 2010, a State Bar Investigator sent letters to respondent regarding the complaint. The letters requested respondent's written response to the allegations of misconduct being investigated. Respondent received the letters, but did not respond.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Count Four

20. By failing to take any substantive step after filing the petition for dissolution of marriage toward completing the dissolution of marriage matter from May 29, 2008 to July 2009, respondent intentionally, recklessly, and repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

Count Five

21. By failing to refund the unearned \$1,000 in advanced fees, respondent failed to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2).

Count Six

22. By failing to respond to Roades' numerous efforts at communication between May 29, 2008 and July 2009, respondent intentionally failed to respond to reasonable status inquiries of a client in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(m).

Count Seven

23. By failing to respond to the Complaint Analyst's and State Bar Investigator's November 9, 2009, February 5, 2010, and February 23, 2010, letters, respondent willfully failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against respondent in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(i).

Case No. 10-O-02666 (Complainant: Megan Williams)

FACTS:

- 24. In July 2009, Megan Williams ("Williams") hired respondent for a modification of child support matter. Williams paid respondent \$2,000 in advanced fees.
- 25. On August 31, 2009, respondent filed an "Order to Show Cause Child Support, Attorneys Fees and Costs" on behalf of Williams. A hearing was set for September 28, 2009. The OSC was not properly served on the opposing party.
- 26. On September 17, 2009, respondent filed an "Application and Order for Reissuance of Order to Show Cause." A hearing was set for October 19, 2009.
- 27. On October 19, 2009, respondent failed to appear at the OSC and the matter was continued to October 26, 2009.

- 28. On October 26, 2009, opposing counsel notified the court that he had not been properly served and the matter was dropped from the calendar. The matter was eventually restored to the calendar and trial was set for December 1, 2009.
- 29. On November 25, 2009, respondent informed Williams that she needed to pay an additional \$750 for trial. Williams did not immediately pay the \$750.
- 30. On November 25, 2009, respondent filed a motion to be relieved as counsel for Williams. Respondent did not attempt to have the December 1, 2009, trial continued or take any other action to protect the interests of Williams. Respondent did not notify Williams that she had filed the motion to be relieved as counsel.
- 31. On December 1, 2009, respondent failed to appear at trial with Williams. Respondent did not tell Williams that she would not appear at trial.
- 32. On December 1, 2009, respondent's law partner David Perrine ("Perrine") appeared. Although Perrine was willing to handle the trial, Williams refused his assistance. Williams refused the assistance of Perrine because she did not know him and was not comfortable with his assistance. Williams represented herself at trial. Williams lost the child support matter at trial.
- 33. On December 2, 2009, Williams sent respondent a letter requesting an itemized billing for the services rendered. In April 2010 and May 2010, respondent sent two conflicting billing statements to Williams. According to the billing statements Williams was either owed a refund of \$33.86 or Williams owed respondent \$773.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Count Eight

34. By failing to properly serve the matter on the opposing party and opposing counsel, and by not appearing at trial with Williams, respondent intentionally, recklessly, and repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

Count Nine

35. By failing to advise Williams that she had filed a Motion to be relieved as counsel, by failing to advise Williams that she would not appear at trial, and by failing to advise Williams that Perrine would be appearing on her behalf at trial, respondent failed to keep a client reasonably informed of significant developments in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(m).

Count Ten

36. By filing a motion to be relieved as counsel without first notifying Williams and by filing a motion to be relieved as counsel without attempting to continue the matter, respondent failed upon termination of employment to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to her client in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2).

Count Eleven

37. By failing to provide an accounting until April 28, 2010, and by providing conflicting accountings to Williams, respondent failed to promptly render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into respondent's possession in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3).

Case No. 10-O-05456 (Complainant: Carla Hunt)

FACTS:

- 38. On July 15, 2008, Carla Hunt ("Hunt") hired respondent for a dissolution of marriage matter. Hunt paid respondent \$5,000 in advanced fees.
 - 39. On December 3, 2009, a settlement in principal was reached between the parties.
- 40. On December 11, 2009, Hunt received a copy of the proposed settlement agreement. Thereafter, Hunt made numerous attempts to communicate with respondent regarding the settlement agreement. Respondent received these communications, but did not respond.
- 41. On January 5, 2010, Hunt received a copy of the proposed marital settlement agreement. Thereafter, Hunt made numerous attempts to communicate with respondent regarding the marital settlement agreement. Respondent received these communications, but did not respond.
- 42. Respondent never finalized the dissolution of marriage or marital settlement agreement for Hunt.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Count Twelve

43. By failing to finalize the dissolution of marriage and by failing to finalize the marital settlement agreement, respondent respondent intentionally, recklessly, and repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

Count Thirteen

44. By failing to respond to Hunt's numerous efforts at communication regarding the dissolution of marriage and the marital settlement agreement, respondent intentionally failed to respond to reasonable status inquiries of a client in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(m).

Case No. 11-O-14717 (Complainant: Tranquilinio Martinez)

FACTS:

- 45. On August 4, 2010, Tranquilino and Joanne Martinez ("the Martinezes") hired respondent to represent their daughter, Joanne Nijem ("Nijem") regarding a temporary restraining order. The Martinezes paid respondent \$750 on behalf of their daughter.
- 46. Respondent did not obtain the client's written consent to the payment of fees from someone other than the client.
- 47. Respondent never advised Nijem in writing that while she was accepting fees from someone other than the client, that there would be no interference with respondent's independence of professional judgment or with the lawyer-client relationship.
- 48. Respondent never advised Nijem in writing that while she was accepting fees from someone other than the client, that any and all information relating to the representation of the client was protected as required by Business and Professions Code section 6068(e).
- 49. Respondent never advised the Martinezes that while she was accepting fees from them, they would not be allowed to interfere with respondent's professional judgment or with the attorney-client relationship, nor did she advise them that she would not disclose any confidential information relating to the representation of Nijem.
 - 50. Respondent never communicated with Nijem.
 - 51. The Martinezes have received a full refund of the \$750.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Count Fourteen

52. By accepting fees from the Martinezes for the representation of Nijem, and by failing to make the required disclosures regarding representation and confidentiality, and by failing to obtain the client's written consent to the payment of the fees, respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-310(F).

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was April 10, 2012.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Layton v. State Bar (1990) 50 Cal.3d 889 – Layton an attorney with no prior disciplinary record and 30 years of practice failed to competently perform as the attorney for a trust and estate for a period of five years. Layton received a 30-day actual suspension.

In the Matter of Greenwood (Review Dept. 1998) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 831 – Greenwood improperly withdrew in one client matter, failed to competently perform legal services in one additional client matter, and failed to return client files. Also there was a violation of a court order, failure to comply with a discovery order and a failure to cooperate in the disciplinary investigation. Greenwood received a 90-day actual suspension from the practice of law.

Standard 2.4(b) – "Culpability of a member of willfully failing to perform services in an individual matter or matters not demonstrating a pattern of misconduct or culpability of a member of willfully failing to communicate with a client shall result in reproval or suspension depending upon the extent of the misconduct and the degree of harm to the client."

DISMISSALS.

The parties respectfully request the Court to dismiss the following alleged violations in the interest of justice:

Case No.	Count	Alleged Violation
11-O-14877 11-O-14877	Sixteen Seventeen	Failure to perform with competence Failure to respond to client inquiries
11-O-14877	Eighteen	Failure to refund unearned fees

Respondent contests the allegations in counts sixteen through eighteen. Respondent has refunded the \$100 to Stacey Walden. The client is satisfied with this result.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of April 10, 2012, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$7,729.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

(Do	not write above this line.)				
1	the Matter of: atherine M. Townley	(Case Number(s): 09-O-11000; 10-O-00256; 10-O-02666; 10-O-05456; 11-O-14717; 11-O-14877		
Fi	nancial Conditions				
a.	Restitution				
	payee(s) listed below. If the C	lient Security Fund ("C amount(s) listed below,	pal amount, plus interest of 10% per annum) to the SF") has reimbursed one or more of the payee(s) for Respondent must also pay restitution to CSF in the	r all	
	Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From		
	Frosty Roades	\$1,000.00	July 1, 2009		
b.	Respondent must pay above-reprobation not later than Installment Restitution Payment	•	nd provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Offic	e of	
Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set forth below. must provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation with each quarterly probation as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation. No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the probation (or period of reproval), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order the payment of restitution, including interest, in full.					
	Payee/CSF (as applicable)	Minimum Payment	Amount Payment Frequency		
	Frosty Roades	\$100.00	Monthly		
		<u> </u>			
	☐ If Respondent fails to pay any the remaining balance is due a	installment as describe and payable immediate	ed above, or as may be modified by the State Bar C ly.	ourt,	
c.	Client Funds Certificate				
	report Respondent m	rust file with each requi	time during the period covered by a required quarte red report a certificate from Respondent and/or a ce nal approved by the Office of Probation, certifying the	runea	

as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";

a. Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
 - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such client;
 - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 - 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 - 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such account;
 - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 - 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
 - i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- 2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: Katherine M. Townley	Case number(s): 09-O-11000; 10-O-00256; 10-O-02666; 10-O-05456; 11-O-14717; 11-O-14877

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

4-18-12		Katherine M. Townley	
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name	
4-19-12	Scoto Statul	Scott J. Drexel	
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name	_
4/19/12	Cliford A. Harling	Robert A. Henderson	
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name	_

Court.)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on May 14, 2012, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

SCOTT J. DREXEL 1325 HOWARD AVE #151 BURLINGAME, CA 94010

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ROBERT A. HENDERSON, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on May 14, 2012.

Mazie Yip

Case Administrator

State Bar Court